**Amendments to the Drawings:**

The attached replacement drawing sheet makes changes to Fig. 6A and replaces the original sheet with Fig. 6A.

Attachment: Replacement Sheet

## REMARKS

Claims 1-21, 30-31, and 33-34 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 1, 17, 30, and 31 have been amended and claims 22-29 and 32 have been canceled. New claims 33 and 34 have been added. Support for the amendments can be found, for example, at pg. 50, lines 16-24 of the Specification. No new matter has been added.

Fig. 6A was objected to for having an incorrect reference character. Fig. 6A has been amended, by the attached replacement sheet, responsive to the objection. Accordingly, withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

The Specification was objected to for failing to comply with formalities. The Specification has been amended responsive to the objection. Accordingly, withdrawal of the objection is respectfully respected.

Claims 30-32 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 32 has been canceled. Therefore, the rejection of this claim is now moot. Claims 30 and 31 have been amended responsive to the rejection. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-3, 6-9, 11, 16-18, 21-24, and 27-32 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 7,142,318 (hereinafter "Lopez"). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claims 22 and 32 have been canceled. Therefore, the rejection of these claims is now moot. Claims 1 and 17 have been amended to include "a printing unit configured <u>to print the Web page</u> accessed by the access unit" (emphasis added), and claims 30 and 31 have been amended similarly. Lopez fails to disclose this feature.

Lopez discloses a printing system and method for printing selected images on a web page. Referring to Fig. 2A, in Lopez, an internet access subsystem 70 accesses a source code file for a web page 8 in response to a user request. A web page analyzer subsystem 74 parses

the source code file to identify image files 4 associated with the web page 8 that satisfy user designated filtering criteria 76. (See Fig. 2A and col. 4, lines 43-51). The web page analyzer subsystem 74 communicates the image file URLs 73 for the qualified image files 3 to the internet access subsystem 70 in order to obtain the qualified image files 3 and then communicates the image files 3 and the corresponding image file URLs 73 to an image proofing subsystem 78. (See col. 4, lines 56-62). The image proofing subsystem 78 forms the content of a user-markable proof sheet 22 that includes indicia 52 of each qualified image file 3 and a user-designation area 54 associated with each indicia 52. (See col. 4, line 63 - col. 5, line 2). The indicia 52 may be a thumbnail image or a filename. (See col. 4, lines 66-67). At least one identity marker 60 that is indicative of the image file URLs 73 for the image files 3 is also provided on the proof sheet 22. The image proofing subsystem 78 then sends the proof sheet content to a printer subsystem 80, which prints the proof sheet 22. (See col. 5, lines 5-7).

Thus, in Lopez, the printer subsystem 80 only prints the indicia 52 of the qualified image files 3, the user-designation areas 54, and the identity marker 60. Lopez does not disclose printing the "Web page accessed by the access unit," as called for by claims 1, 17, 30 and 31. The image file URLs 73, which are indicated by the identity marker 60, are different from and do not correspond to the web page 8 accessed by the internet access subsystem 70 (alleged access unit of claims 1 and 17).

Therefore, claims 1, 17, 30, and 31 are patentable over Lopez. Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 4-5, 10, 12-15, 19-20, and 25-26 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over Lopez in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,029,182 (hereinafter "Nehab"). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Nehab fails to provide, at least, that which Lopez lacks. Specifically, Nehab fails to teach or suggest, either alone or in combination with Lopez, "a printing unit configured to print the Web page," as called for by claims 1 and 17 and the similar feature of claims 30 and 31.

Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,

James A. Oliff
Registration No. 27,075

Srikant Viswanadham
Registration No. 60,111

JAO:SQV/axl

Attachment:
    Replacement Sheet

Date: October 25, 2007

**OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC**
**P.O. Box 320850**
**Alexandria, Virginia 22320-4850**
**Telephone: (703) 836-6400**

| DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE |
| :---: |
| AUTHORIZATION |
| Please grant any extension |
| necessary for entry; |
| Charge any fee due to our |
| Deposit Account No. 15-0461 |